

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/771,839 02/04/20		02/04/2004	Xinping He	384938068US	9751	
25096	7590	11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
PERKINS	COIE LL	P	LE, QUE TAN			
PATENT-SI			ADTIBUT	54 550 WHADO		
P.O. BOX 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,	WA 981	11-1247	2878			
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			*. & N	T A 12 17 ->					
			ication No.	Applicant(s)	m				
Office Action Summary			71,839	HE ET AL.					
	Office Action Cammary		niner 	Art Unit					
_		Que		2878					
۔۔ Period for ا	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address				
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit riod for reply is specified above, the maximum so to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALLING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNI no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MOI ne application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)∏ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
• —	•	2b)⊠ This actior	is non-final						
•—	, 								
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositior	·	.00 aao. =/. pa/.		,					
·		!:!:							
•	I) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
*	aim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) <u> </u>	aim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.						
Application	Papers								
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🔲 Th	e oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	r. Note the attache	d Office Action or form	PTO-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.									
	☐ Copies of the certified copies				al Stage				
J.	·			TOOGITOU III LIIIO TUULIOII	ar olago				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	o into allaction dotained clines acid	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
• • • • • •									
Attachment(s			A) [1-4 4.	Cumman (DTO 440)					
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔯 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application (F	PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/771,839

Art Unit: 2878

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/772,159. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed invention, claims 1-3, of the present application is a similar version of the claimed invention, claims 1-15, of the above identified copending application with similar intended scope. Note that the plurality of active pixels being recited in the copending application including a plurality of pinned photodiodes with a common output node and/or output circuit.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-13 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 of copending Application No. 10/625,411. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed invention, claims 1-13, of the present application is a similar version of the claimed invention, claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8, of the above identified copending application with similar intended scope. The additional pixel formation further recited in the claimed invention of the present application is a well known aggregation to one of ordinary skill in the image forming art. The processing circuit and/or I/O circuit would have been inherently included in the input/output node formation claimed by the claimed invention of the copending application with similar intended scope.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/771,839

Art Unit: 2878

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung et al 6,218,691.

Chung et al disclose a CMOS image sensor system comprising: a plurality of unit pixels, wherein each unit pixel including a pinned photodiode (PPD), a transfer transistor (Tx) connected between the photodiode and an output node, a reset transistor (Rx) connected between a voltage reference (Vdd) and the output node, an output transistor (Dx) coupled to the output node, a selection transistor (Sx) connected between the output transistor and an output circuitry. The system includes a negative voltage generator (302).

With respect to claims 1, and 2, although Chung et al fail to disclose a second pinned photodiode and a second transfer transistor forming a second light sensing area for (each of) the pixel unit, forming additional light sensing area in an image pixel sensor in order to reduce the overall size and/or provide a compact design for the sensing system would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the imaging art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chung et al accordingly in order to provide a more compact design for the system.

With respect to claims 3, 5-9, and 12, although Chung et al disclose an image pixel array but lack a clear inclusion of a plurality of rows and columns, it would have

Art Unit: 2878

been inherently included, however, if not, formation of rows and columns for an imaging system would have been obviously known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chung et al accordingly in order to provide a better two dimensional sensing surface for the system. The further citation of a third pinned photodiode with an associated transfer transistor and common output nodes would have been obvious for similar reasons set forth above.

With respect to claims 10 and 11, although Chung et al disclose an I/O circuit but lack a clear inclusion of a processing circuit, it would have been inherently included in order to process the sensed data, however, if not, including a processing circuit for processing the sensed data in an imaging system would have been obviously known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chung et al accordingly in order to provide a clear sensing result for the system.

With respect to claims 4 and 13, although Chung et al lack a clear inclusion of an option not being used of a row select transistor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chung et al by not activating the row select transistor, if so desired, without altering the basis performances of the system.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

I) Gee et al 6,320,617 disclose an array of CMOS active pixel sensor system using a plurality of pinned photodiodes.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,839 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Que T. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2438.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps, can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Que T. Le

Primary Examiner